arXiv:1506.05331v1 [math.AP] 17 Jun 2015

Multiplicity and concentration behavior of solutions for a quasilinear problem involving N-functions via penalization method

Claudianor O. Alves[*], Ailton R. Silva[†]
Universidade Federal de Campina Grande
Unidade Acadêmica de Matemática - UAMat
CEP: 58.429-900 - Campina Grande - PB - Brazil

Abstract

In this work, we study the existence, multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for the following class of quasilinear problem:

$$-\Delta_\Phi u + V(\epsilon x)\phi(|u|)u = f(u) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $\Phi(t) = \int_0^{|t|} \phi(s)s ds$ is a N-function, Δ_Φ is the Φ-Laplacian operator, ϵ is a positive parameter, $N \geq 2$, $V : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1-function.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35A15, 35B40, 35J62, 46E30

Keywords: Variational Methods, Behavior of Solutions, Quasilinear Problems, Orlicz-Sobolev Space

1 Introduction

Many recent studies have focused on the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\epsilon\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = -\epsilon^2 \Delta \Psi + (V(z) + E)\Psi - f(\Psi) \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{R}^N, \qquad (NLS)$$

where $N \geq 1$, $\epsilon > 0$ is a parameter and V, f are continuous function verifying some conditions. This class of equation is one of the main objects of the quantum physics, because it appears in problems involving nonlinear optics, plasma physics and condensed matter physics.

---

[*] C.O. Alves was partially supported by CNPq/Brazil 304036/2013-7 and INCT-MAT, e-mail: coalves@dme.ufcg.edu.br

[†] A.R. Silva, e-mail: ailton@dme.ufcg.edu.br

Knowledge of the solutions for the elliptic equation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\epsilon^2 \Delta u + V(z)u = f(u) \ \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{array} \right. \tag{$(S)_\epsilon$}$$

or equivalently

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\Delta u + V(\epsilon z)u = f(u) \ \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{array} \right. \tag{$(S')_\epsilon$}$$

has a great importance in the study of standing-wave solutions of (NLS). In recent years, the existence and concentration of positive solutions for general semilinear elliptic equations $(S)_\epsilon$ have been extensively studied, see for example, Floer and Weinstein [18], Oh [29, 30], Rabinowitz [32], Wang [35], Ambrosetti and Malchiodi [9], Ambrosetti, Badiale and Cingolani [8], Floer and Weinstein [19], del Pino and Felmer [14] and their references.

In the above mentioned papers, the existence, multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions have been obtained in connection with the geometry of the function V. In some of them, it was proved that the maximum points of the solutions are close to the set

$$\mathcal{V} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ : \ V(x) = \min_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(z) \right\},$$

when ϵ is small enough. Moreover, in a lot of problems, the multiplicity of solution is related to topology richness of $\mathcal{V}$.

In [32], by a mountain pass argument, Rabinowitz proves the existence of positive solutions of $(S)_\epsilon$, for $\epsilon > 0$ small, whenever

$$\liminf_{|z| \to \infty} V(z) > \inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(z) = V_0 > 0. \tag{R}$$

Later Wang [35] showed that these solutions concentrate at global minimum points of V as ϵ tends to 0.

In [14], del Pino and Felmer have found solutions which concentrate around local minimum of V by introducing a penalization method. More precisely, they assume that

$$V(x) \geq \inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(z) = V_0 > 0 \ \text{ for all } \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \tag{V_0}$$

and there is an open and bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying

$$\inf_{z \in \Omega} V(z) < \min_{z \in \partial\Omega} V(z). \tag{V_1}$$

The existence, multiplicity and concentration of positive solution have been also considered for quasilinear problems of the type

$$-\Delta_p u + V(\epsilon x)|u|^{p-2}u = f(u), \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

and

$$-\Delta_p u - \Delta_q u + V(\epsilon x)(|u|^{p-2}u + |u|^{q-2}u) = f(u), \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Related to this subject, we cite the papers Alves and Figueiredo [3, 4], Benouhiba and Belyacine [10], Cammaroto and Vilasi [12], Chaves, Ercole and Miyagaki [13], Figueiredo [17], Li and Liang [25] and their references.

Recently, in [7], Alves and Silva have showed the existence, multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for the following class of quasilinear problems

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} -\Delta_\Phi u + V(\epsilon x)\phi(|u|)u & = & f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N), & & \end{array}\right. \tag{P_ϵ}$$

where $N \geq 2$, ϵ is positive parameter, the operator $\Delta_\Phi u = \mathrm{div}(\phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u)$, where $\Phi(t) = \int_0^{|t|} \phi(s)sds$, named Φ-Laplacian, is a natural extension of the p-Laplace operator, with p being a positive constant and $V : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function verifying (R).

This type of operator arises in a lot of applications, such as
Nonlinear Elasticity: $\Phi(t) = (1+t^2)^\alpha - 1, \alpha \in (1, \frac{N}{N-2})$,
Plasticity: $\Phi(t) = t^p \ln(1+t), 1 < \frac{-1+\sqrt{1+4N}}{2} < p < N-1, N \geq 3$,
Non-Newtonian Fluid: $\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{p}|t|^p$ for $p > 1$,
Plasma Physics: $\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{p}|t|^p + \frac{1}{q}|t|^q$ where $1 < p < q < N$ with $q \in (p, p^*)$.

The reader can find more details involving this subject in [15], [17], [21] and their references.

Actually, we have observed that there are interesting papers studying the existence of solution for (P_ϵ) when $\epsilon = 1$, we would like to cite the papers [5], [11], [19], [20], [21], [26], [27], [33] and references therein. However, the authors know only the paper [7], where the existence, multiplicity and concentration of solution has been considered for a Φ-Laplacian equation.

Motivated by [3], [7] and [14], in the present paper we study the existence, multiplicity and concentration of solution for (P_ϵ), by supposing that V verifies the conditions (V_0)-(V_1).

In this work, we complete the study made [7], because we are considering another geometry on V. Related to [3, 14], we enlarge their study, in the sense that, we obtain the same type of results for a large class of operators.

In the proof of our results, we will work with N-function theory and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. Since we are working with a general class of function Φ, some estimates explored in [3] and [14] cannot be repeated, then it was necessary to developed new estimates. For example, in [3], it was used interaction Moser techniques, which does not work well in our case. To we overcome this difficulty, we adapt some arguments found in [6], [22], [23], [24] and [34]. Here, we also modify the nonlinearity like [14], however the deformation is more technical, see Section 2 for details.

Next, we will write our assumptions on ϕ and f.

Conditions on ϕ:

The function $\phi : [0,+\infty) \to [0,+\infty)$ is a C^1- function satisfying

(ϕ_1) $\phi(t)$, $(\phi(t)t)^{'} > 0$, $t > 0$.

(ϕ_2) There exist $l, m \in (1, N)$ such that

$$l \leq m < l^* = \frac{Nl}{N-l}$$

and

$$l \leq \frac{\phi(t)t^2}{\Phi(t)} \leq m, \ \ \forall t \neq 0,$$

where

$$\Phi(t) = \int_0^{|t|} \phi(s)s ds.$$

(ϕ_3) The function $\dfrac{\phi(t)}{t^{m-2}}$ is nonincreasing in $(0, +\infty)$.

(ϕ_4) The function ϕ is monotone.

(ϕ_5) There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$|\phi^{'}(t)t| \leq c\phi(t), \quad \forall\ t \in [0, +\infty).$$

Hereafter, we will say that $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}_m$ if

$$(\mathcal{C}_m) \qquad \Phi(t) \geq |t|^m, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, let us denote by γ the following real number

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} m, & \text{if } \Phi \in \mathcal{C}_m, \\ \\ l, & \text{if } \Phi \notin \mathcal{C}_m. \end{cases}$$

Here, we would like to detach that the functions ϕ associated with each N-function mentioned in this introduction, fulfill the conditions (ϕ_1)-(ϕ_5).

Conditions on f:

The function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1- function verifying:

(f_1) There are functions $r, b : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\limsup_{|t|\to 0} \frac{f^{'}(t)}{(r(|t|)|t|)'} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{|t|\to+\infty} \frac{|f^{'}(t)|}{(b(|t|)|t|)'} < +\infty.$$

(f_2) There exists $\theta > m$ such that

$$0 < \theta F(t) \leq f(t)t, \quad \forall t > 0$$

where

$$F(t) = \int_0^s f(s)ds.$$

(f_3) The function $\dfrac{f(t)}{t^{m-1}}$ is increasing for $t > 0$.

Related to functions r and b, we assume that they are C^1- functions satisfying the following conditions:

(r_1) r is increasing.

(r_2) There exists a constant $\overline{c} > 0$ such that

$$|r^{'}(t)t| \leq \overline{c}r(t), \quad \forall\ t \geq 0.$$

(r_3) There exist positive constants r_1 and r_2 such that

$$r_1 \leq \frac{r(t)t^2}{R(t)} \leq r_2, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

where

$$R(t) = \int_0^{|t|} r(s)sds.$$

(r_4) The function R satisfies

$$\limsup_{t\to 0}\frac{R(t)}{\Phi(t)} < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{|t|\to+\infty}\frac{R(t)}{\Phi_*(t)} = 0.$$

(b_1) b is increasing.

(b_2) There exists a constant $\widetilde{c} > 0$ such that

$$|b^{'}(t)t| \leq \widetilde{c}b(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

(b_3) There exist positive constants $b_1, b_2 \in (1, \gamma^*)$ verifying

$$b_1 \leq \frac{b(t)t^2}{B(t)} \leq b_2, \ \ \forall t > 0,$$

where $\gamma^* = \frac{N\gamma}{N-\gamma}$ and

$$B(t) = \int_0^{|t|} b(s)sds.$$

(b_4) The function B satisfies

$$\limsup_{t\to 0}\frac{B(t)}{\Phi(t)} < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{|t|\to+\infty}\frac{B(t)}{\Phi_*(t)} = 0,$$

where Φ_* is the Sobolev conjugate function, which is defined by inverse function of

$$G_\Phi(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\Phi^{-1}(s)}{s^{1+\frac{1}{N}}}ds.$$

Using the above hypotheses, we are able to state our main result.

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose that* (ϕ_1)*-*(ϕ_5)*,* (r_1)*-*(r_4)*,* (b_1)*-*(b_4)*,* (f_1)*-*(f_3)*,* (V_0)*-*(V_1) *hold. Then, for any* $\delta > 0$ *small enough, there exists* $\epsilon_\delta > 0$ *such that* (P_ϵ) *has at least* $cat_{M_\delta}(M)$ *positive solutions, for any* $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_\delta$*, where*

$$M = \big\{x \in \Omega \ : \ V(x) = V_0\big\}$$

and

$$M_\delta = \big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ : \ dist(x, M) \leq \delta\big\}.$$

Moreover, if u_ϵ *denotes one of these solutions and* $x_\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^N$ *is its global maximum, we have that*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} V(\epsilon x_\epsilon) = V_0.$$

We would like point out that, if Y is a closed subset of a topological space X, the Lusternik-Schnirelman category $cat_X(Y)$ is the least number of closed and contractible sets in X which cover Y.

The plan of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we will prove the existence and multiplicity of solution for an auxiliary problem, more precisely, using Lusternik-Schnirelman category theory, we show that the auxiliary problem has at last $cat_{M_\delta}(M)$ positive solutions for ϵ small enough. In Section 3, we make some estimates to prove that the solutions found for the auxiliary problem in Section 2 are solutions for the original problem. Finally, we write an Appendix A, where we show the existence of a special function used in Section 2.

2 An auxiliary problem

In this is section, motivated for some arguments explored in Alves and Figueiredo [3], and mainly in del Pino and Felmer [14], we will show the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for an auxiliary problem. To this end, we need to fix some notations, however if the reader does not know the main properties involving the Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, we suggest to read the Section 2 in [7] for a brief review, and for a more complete study, see [1], [2], [16], [28] and [31].

Since we intend to find positive solutions, we will assume that

$$f(t) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t < 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Let θ be the number given in (f_3), $a, k > 0$ satisfying

$$k > \frac{(\theta - l)}{(\theta - m)}\frac{m}{l} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f(a)}{\phi(a)a} = \frac{V_0}{k}.$$

Using the above numbers, let us define the function

$$\widehat{f}(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & if\ s \leq a \\ \dfrac{V_0}{k}\phi(s)s & if\ s > a. \end{cases}$$

Fixing $t_0 < a < t_1$ with $t_0, t_1 \approx a$, it is possible to build a function $\eta \in C^1([t_0, t_1])$ satisfying

(η_1) $\eta(s) \leq \widehat{f}(s)$ for all $s \in [t_0, t_1]$,

(η_2) $\eta(t_0) = \widehat{f}(t_0)$ and $\eta(t_1) = \widehat{f}(t_1)$,

(η_3) $\eta^{'}(t_0) = (\widehat{f})^{'}(t_0)$ and $\eta^{'}(t_1) = (\widehat{f})^{'}(t_1)$,

(η_4) The function $s \to \dfrac{\eta(s)}{\phi(s)s}$ is nondecreasing for all $s \in [t_0, t_1]$.

The reader can find the details involving the existence of η in Appendix A.

Using the functions η and $\widehat{f}$, let us consider two new functions

$$\widetilde{f}(s) = \begin{cases} \widehat{f}(s) & if \ s \notin [t_0, t_1], \\ \eta(s) & if \ s \in [t_0, t_1], \end{cases}$$

and

$$g(x,s) = \chi_\Omega(x) f(s) + (1 - \chi_\Omega(x))\widetilde{f}(s),$$

where χ_Ω is the characteristic function related to the set Ω. From definition of g, we see that g is a Carathéodory function verifying

$$g(x,s) = 0, \quad \forall (x,s) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (-\infty, 0] \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$g(x,s) \leq f(s), \quad \forall (x,s) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the function $s \to g(x,s)$ is of class C^1 and it satisfies the following conditions:

(g_1) $\displaystyle\limsup_{|s| \to 0} \frac{g(x,s)}{\phi(|s|)|s|} = 0$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

(g_2) $\displaystyle\limsup_{|s| \to +\infty} \frac{g(x,s)}{b(|s|)|s|} < +\infty$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

(g_3) $\displaystyle 0 \leq \theta G(x,s) = \theta \int_0^s g(x,t)dt \leq g(x,s)s$, $\forall (x,s) \in \Omega \times (0, +\infty)$.

(g_4) $\displaystyle 0 < lG(x,s) \leq g(x,s)s \leq \frac{V_0}{k}\phi(s)s^2$, $\forall (x,s) \in \Omega^c \times (0, +\infty)$.

(g_5) The function $s \to \dfrac{g(x,s)}{\phi(s)s}$ is nondecreasing for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and for all $s > 0$.

Using the function g, we can consider the auxiliary problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} -\Delta_\Phi u + V(\epsilon x)\phi(|u|)u & = & g(\epsilon x, u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N). & & \end{array} \right. \tag{$\widetilde{P}_\epsilon$}$$

Here, we would like to point out that if Ω_ϵ denotes the set

$$\Omega_\epsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \,:\, \epsilon x \in \Omega\} = \Omega/\epsilon$$

and u is a positive solution of $(\widetilde{P}_\epsilon)$ with $u(x) \leq t_0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \backslash \Omega_\epsilon$, then u is also a positive solution of (P_ϵ).

2.1 Preliminary results

In what follows, let us denote by $J_\epsilon : X_\epsilon \to \mathbb{R}$ the energy functional related to $(\widetilde{P}_\epsilon)$ given by

$$J_\epsilon(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u|)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(\epsilon x, u)dx,$$

where X_ϵ denotes the subspace of $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ given by

$$X_\epsilon = \Big\{u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \,:\, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u|)dx < +\infty\Big\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_\epsilon = \|\nabla u\|_\Phi + \|u\|_{\Phi, V_\epsilon},$$

where

$$\|\nabla u\|_\Phi := \inf\Big\{\lambda > 0; \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi\Big(\frac{|\nabla u|}{\lambda}\Big)dx \leq 1\Big\}$$

and

$$\|u\|_{\Phi, V_\epsilon} := \inf\Big\{\lambda > 0; \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\Phi\Big(\frac{|u|}{\lambda}\Big)dx \leq 1\Big\}.$$

From (V_0), it follows that the embeddings

$$X_\epsilon \hookrightarrow L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad X_\epsilon \hookrightarrow L^B(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

are continuous. Using the above embeddings, a direct computation yields $J_\epsilon \in C^1(X_\epsilon, \mathbb{R})$ with

$$J_\epsilon^{'}(u)v = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\phi(|u|)uv \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\epsilon x, u)v \, dx,$$

for all $u, v \in X_\epsilon$. Thereby, $u \in X_\epsilon$ is a weak solution of $(\widetilde{P}_\epsilon)$ if, and only if, u is a critical point of J_ϵ. Furthermore, by (2.2), the critical points of J_ϵ are nonnegative.

Lemma 2.1 *Let* (u_n) *be a sequence* $(PS)_c$. *Then,* (u_n) *is a bounded sequence in* X_ϵ.

Proof. Since (u_n) is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for J_ϵ, there is $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$J_\epsilon(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} J_\epsilon^{'}(u_n)u_n \leq C_1(1 + \|u_n\|_\epsilon), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

On the other hand, by (ϕ_2) and $(g_3) - (g_4)$,

$$J_\epsilon(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} J_\epsilon^{'}(u_n)u_n \geq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u_n|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\Phi(u_n)dx \right),$$

where $C = \left[\left(1 - \frac{m}{\theta}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{l}{\theta}\right)\frac{m}{kl}\right] > 0$. Hence, by [19, Lemma 2.1],

$$J_\epsilon(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} J_\epsilon^{'}(u_n)u_n \quad \geq \quad C_1\big(\xi_0(\|\nabla u_n\|_\Phi) + \xi_0(\|u_n\|_{\Phi, V_\epsilon})\big), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now, the proof follows as in [5, Lemma 4.2]. ■

Lemma 2.2 *Let* (u_n) *be a* $(PS)_d$ *sequence for* J_ϵ. *Then for each* τ, *there exists* $\rho_0 = \rho_0(\tau) > 0$ *such that*

$$\limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\rho_0}(0)} \Big[\Phi(|u_n|) + V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u_n|)\Big] dx < \tau.$$

Proof. For each $\rho > 0$, let $\xi_\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ verifying

$$\xi_\rho(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(0) \\ 1, & x \notin B_\rho(0) \end{cases}$$

with $0 \leq \xi_\rho(x) \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \xi_\rho| \leq \dfrac{C}{\rho}$, where C is a constant independent of ρ. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\epsilon^{'}(u_n)(\xi_\rho u_n) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u_n|)\nabla u_n \nabla(\xi_\rho u_n)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\phi(|u_n|)u_n^2 \xi_\rho dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\epsilon x, u_n)u_n \xi_\rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\rho > 0$ such that $\Omega_\epsilon \subset B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(0)$, the condition (ϕ_2) ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} l\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi_\rho\Big[\Phi(|\nabla u_n|) + V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u_n|)\Big]dx &\leq J_\epsilon^{'}(u_n)(\xi_\rho u_n) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n\phi(|\nabla u_n|)\nabla u_n \nabla \xi_\rho dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Omega_\epsilon} g(\epsilon x, u_n)u_n\xi_\rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

Gathering (g_4) and (ϕ_2),

$$\begin{aligned} l\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi_\rho\Big[\Phi(|\nabla u_n|) + V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u_n|)\Big]dx &\leq J_\epsilon^{'}(u_n)(\xi_\rho u_n) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n\phi(|\nabla u_n|)\nabla u_n \nabla \xi_\rho dx \\ &\quad + \frac{m}{k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u_n|)\xi_\rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\xi_\rho u_n)$ is bounded in X_ϵ and $k > \dfrac{m}{l}$, by Hölder inequality there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi_\rho\Big[\Phi(|\nabla u_n|) + V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u_n|)\Big]dx \leq o_n(1) + \frac{C_1}{\rho}.$$

Now, fixing $\tau > 0$, there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that $\frac{C_1}{\rho_0} < \tau$. Then,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{\rho_0}(0)} \Big[\Phi(|\nabla u_n|) + V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u_n|)\Big]dx \leq o_n(1) + \tau.$$

Passing to the limit in the last inequality, it follows that

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{\rho_0}(0)} \Big[\Phi(|u_n|) + V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u_n|)\Big]dx < \tau.$$

■

The Lemma below establishes an important property involving the (PS) sequences for J_ϵ. Since it follows repeating the same arguments used in [5, Lemma 4.3], we will omit its proof.

Lemma 2.3 *Let (u_n) be a $(PS)_d$ sequence for J_ϵ with $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X_ϵ. Then,*

$$\nabla u_n(x) \to \nabla u(x) \quad a.\ e.\ in \quad \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{2.4}$$

As a consequence of the above limit, we deduce that u is a critical point for J_ϵ.

Proposition 2.4 *The functional J_ϵ verifies the (PS) condition.*

Proof. Let (u_n) be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for J_ϵ. From Lemma 2.1, there exists $u \in X_\epsilon$ such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \ \text{ in } X_\epsilon. \tag{2.5}$$

First of all, we know by Lemma 2.2 that fixed $\tau > 0$, there is $\rho_0 > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\rho_0}(0)} \Big[\Phi(|u_n|) + V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u_n|)\Big]dx < \tau.$$

Increasing $\rho_0 > 0$ if necessary, the above limit together with Δ_2-condition gives

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u_n - \nabla u|)dx \le \limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(0)} \Phi(|\nabla u_n - \nabla u|)dx + 2\tau \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u_n - u|)dx \quad \le \quad \limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(0)} V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u_n - u|)dx + 2\tau.$$

By (2.5), up to a subsequence, $u_n \to u$ in $L^\Phi(B_{\rho_0}(0))$. This information combined with the last limit guarantees that

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u_n - u|)dx \le 2\tau.$$

As τ is arbitrary, we conclude that

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u_n - u|)dx = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Now, we will show that

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(0)} \Phi(|\nabla u_n - \nabla u|)dx = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.3,

$$\Phi(|\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u(x)|) \to 0 \quad \text{a. e. in } B_{\rho_0}(0).$$

Moreover, from Δ_2-condition and (ϕ_2), there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\Phi(|\nabla u_n - \nabla u|) \le c_1\phi(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2 + c_2\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2.$$

Using again Lemma 2.3

$c_1\phi(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2+c_2\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 \to (c_1+c_2)\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2$ a. e. in $B_{\rho_0}(0)$.

On the other hand, as in [5, Lemma 4.3],

$$\int_{B_{\rho_0}(0)} (\phi(|\nabla u_n|)\nabla u_n - \phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u)(\nabla u_n - \nabla u)dx = o_n(1),$$

and so,

$$\int_{B_{\rho_0}(0)} \phi(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2 dx \to \int_{B_{\rho_0}(0)} \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{B_{\rho_0}(0)} \Big[c_1\phi(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2+c_2\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2\Big]dx \to (c_1+c_2)\int_{B_{\rho_0}(0)} \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Applying the general Lebesgue's theorem, we infer that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{B_{\rho_0}(0)} \Phi(|\nabla u_n - \nabla u|)dx = 0.$$

Combining the last limit with (2.6), we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u_n - \nabla u|)dx = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

From (2.7) and (2.8),

$$u_n \to u \quad \text{in } X_\epsilon,$$

showing that J_ϵ verifies the (PS) condition. ■

Next, we will show some results involving J_ϵ and its Nehari manifold. We recall that the Nehari manifold associated to J_ϵ is given by,

$$\mathcal{N}_\epsilon = \big\{u \in X_\epsilon\backslash\{0\}\ :\ J_\epsilon^{'}(u)u = 0\big\}.$$

Our first lemma shows that the Nehari manifold has a positive distance from the origin in X_ϵ. Since it follows by using standard arguments, we omit its proof.

Lemma 2.5 *For all $u \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$, there exists $\sigma > 0$, which is independent of ϵ, such that*

$$\|u\|_\epsilon > \sigma.$$

The next lemma establishes an important characterization involving the mountain pass level, which is useful in a lot of problems. In what follows, we denote by $c_{\epsilon,1}$ and $c_{\epsilon,2}$ the following numbers

$$c_{\epsilon,1} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon} J_\epsilon(u) \quad \text{and} \quad c_{\epsilon,2} = \inf_{u \in X_\epsilon \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} J_\epsilon(tu).$$

Fixing the subset

$$\mathcal{A}_\epsilon = \big\{ u \in X_\epsilon \ : \ u^+ \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |supp(u) \cap \Omega_\epsilon| > 0 \big\}$$

and the number

$$\overline{c}_{\epsilon,2} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{A}_\epsilon} \max_{t \geq 0} J_\epsilon(tu),$$

it is easy to see that

$$c_{\epsilon,2} = \overline{c}_{\epsilon,2}.$$

Lemma 2.6 *Assume that* (ϕ_1)-(ϕ_3), (r_1)-(r_4), (b_1)-(b_4), (f_1)-(f_3) *and* (V_0)-(V_1) *hold. Then, for each* $u \in \mathcal{A}_\epsilon$, *there exists a unique* $t_u > 0$ *such that* $t_u u \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ *and* $J_\epsilon(t_u u) = \max\limits_{t \geq 0} J_\epsilon(tu)$. *Moreover,*

$$c_\epsilon = c_{\epsilon,1} = c_{\epsilon,2},$$

where c_ϵ *denotes the mountain pass level associated with* J_ϵ.

Proof. For each $u \in \mathcal{A}_\epsilon$, we define $h_\epsilon(t) = J_\epsilon(tu)$, that is,

$$h_\epsilon(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla(tu)|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|tu|)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(\epsilon x, tu)dx.$$

Existence

By a direct computation, $h_\epsilon(t) > 0$ for t enough small and $h_\epsilon(t) < 0$ for t sufficiently large. Thus, there is $t_u > 0$ such that

$$h_\epsilon(t_u) = \max_{t \geq 0} h_\epsilon(t) = \max_{t \geq 0} J_\epsilon(tu),$$

implying that $h_\epsilon^{'}(t_u) = 0$, that is, $J_\epsilon^{'}(t_u u)u = 0$, and so, $t_u u \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$.

Uniqueness

Suppose that there exist $t_1, t_2 > 0$ such that $t_1 u, t_2 u \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ and $t_1 < t_2$. Then,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla(t_1 u)|)|\nabla(t_1 u)|^2 dx \quad + \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\phi(|t_1 u|)|t_1 u|^2 dx = \int_{[u>0]} g(\epsilon x, t_1 u) t_1 u dx$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla(t_2 u)|)|\nabla(t_2 u)|^2 dx \quad + \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\phi(|t_2 u|)|t_2 u|^2 dx = \int_{[u>0]} g(\epsilon x, t_2 u) t_2 u dx.$$

Setting $\upsilon(t) = \dfrac{\phi(t)}{t^{m-2}}$ for all $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(\upsilon(|t_1|\nabla u||) - \upsilon(|t_2|\nabla u||)\big)|\nabla u|^m dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\big(\upsilon(|t_1|u||) - \upsilon(|t_2|u||)\big)|u|^m dx \\ = & \int_{[u>0]} \left[\frac{g(\epsilon x, t_1 u)}{(t_1 u)^{m-1}} - \frac{g(\epsilon x, t_2 u)}{(t_2 u)^{m-1}}\right] u^m dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (V_0) and (ϕ_3),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(\upsilon(|t_1|\nabla u||) - \upsilon(|t_2|\nabla u||)\big)|\nabla u|^m dx \\ & + \frac{V_0}{k} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\epsilon) \cap [u>0]} \big(\upsilon(|t_1|u||) - \upsilon(|t_2|u||)\big)|u|^m dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega_\epsilon \cap [u>0]} \left[\frac{f(t_1 u)}{(t_1 u)^{m-1}} - \frac{f(t_2 u)}{(t_2 u)^{m-1}}\right] u^m dx \\ & + \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\epsilon) \cap [u>0]} \left[\frac{\widetilde{f}(t_1 u)}{(t_1 u)^{m-1}} - \frac{\widetilde{f}(t_2 u)}{(t_2 u)^{m-1}}\right] u^m dx, \end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(\upsilon(|t_1|\nabla u||) - \upsilon(|t_2|\nabla u||)\big)|\nabla u|^m dx \\ & + \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\epsilon) \cap [u>0]} \left[\left(\frac{V_0}{k}\upsilon(|t_1|u||) - \frac{\widetilde{f}(t_1 u)}{(t_1 u)^{m-1}}\right) - \left(\frac{V_0}{k}\upsilon(|t_2|u||) - \frac{\widetilde{f}(t_2 u)}{(t_2 u)^{m-1}}\right)\right] u^m dx \\ \leq & \int_{\Omega_\epsilon \cap [u>0]} \left[\frac{f(t_1 u)}{(t_1 u)^{m-1}} - \frac{f(t_2 u)}{(t_2 u)^{m-1}}\right] u^m \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the function

$$h(t) = \frac{V_0}{k}\upsilon(t) - \frac{\widetilde{f}(t)}{t^{m-1}},$$

and note that,

$$h(t) = \upsilon(t)h_1(t),$$

where

$$h_1(t) = \frac{V_0}{k} - \frac{\widetilde{f}(t)}{\phi(t)t}.$$

As υ, h_1 are non increasing and nonnegative, h is non increasing. Hence, $h(t_1 u) \geq h(t_2 u)$, and so,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(\upsilon(|t_1|\nabla u||) - \upsilon(|t_2|\nabla u||)\big)|\nabla u|^m dx + \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Omega_\epsilon)\cap[u>0]} \big(h(t_1u) - h(t_2u)\big)u^m dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega_\epsilon\cap[u>0]} \left[\frac{f(t_1u)}{(t_1u)^{m-1}} - \frac{f(t_2u)}{(t_2u)^{m-1}}\right]u^m dx < 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is an absurd. Therefore, $t_1 = t_2$. Now, the proof follows arguing as in [36, Theorem 4.2]. ■

2.2 Study of the (PS) condition for J_ϵ on $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon$

In this subsection, our main goal is to study the (PS) condition for J_ϵ on $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon$, which will be used later on. In what follows, without loss of generality, we will assume that

$$V(0) = \min_{z\in\mathbb{R}^N} V(z) = V_0.$$

Using the above number, we fix the following quasilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_\Phi u + V_0\phi(|u|)u = f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases} \tag{P_0}$$

We recall that the weak solutions of (P_0) are critical points of the functional

$$E_0(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|)dx + V_0\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u|)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u)dx,$$

which is well defined in $Y = W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_Y = \|\nabla u\|_\Phi + V_0\|u\|_\Phi.$$

Moreover, let us denote by d_0 the mountain pass level of E_0, and by $\mathcal{M}_0$, the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{M}_0 = \big\{u \in Y\backslash\{0\} \,:\, E_0^{'}(u)u = 0\big\}.$$

The next lemma will be used to prove that J_ϵ verifies the (PS) condition on $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon$.

Lemma 2.7 *Consider* $U = \big\{u \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon \,:\, J_\epsilon(u) < d_0 + 1\big\}$. *Then,*

(a) $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u|)dx \leq \sigma_1$ *for all* $u \in U$,

(b) $\displaystyle\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} (f^{'}(u)u^2 - (m-1)f(u)u)dx \geq \sigma_2$ *for all* $u \in U$,

where $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 > 0$ *are independent constants of* ϵ.

Proof. (a)**:** For any $u \in U$,

$$J_\epsilon(u) - \frac{1}{\theta}J_\epsilon^{'}(u)u = J_\epsilon(u) < d_0 + 1.$$

On the other hand, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, there is $C > 0$ such that

$$J_\epsilon(u) - \frac{1}{\theta}J_\epsilon^{'}(u)u \geq CV_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(u)dx.$$

From this,

$$CV_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(u)dx \leq d_0 + 1, \quad \forall u \in U$$

showing (a).
(b)**:** Arguing by contradiction, if (b) does not hold, must exist a sequence of $(u_n) \subset U$, such that

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} \Big[f^{'}(u_n)u_n^2 - (m-1)f(u_n)u_n\Big]dx \to 0.$$

Since (u_n) is bounded in X_ϵ, up to a subsequence, we can assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X_ϵ, for some $u \in X_\epsilon$. Thus, $u_n \to u$ in $L^\Phi(\Omega_\epsilon)$ and $u_n \to u$ in $L^B(\Omega_\epsilon)$, implying that

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} \Big[f^{'}(u)u^2 - (m-1)f(u)u\Big]dx = 0.$$

By (f_3), we conclude that

$$f^{'}(u)u^2 - (m-1)f(u)u = 0 \quad \text{a. e. in } \ \Omega_\epsilon.$$

Therefore, $u = 0$ a. e. in Ω_ϵ and

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} f(u_n)u_n dx \to 0.$$

On the other hand, as $J_\epsilon^{'}(u_n)u_n = 0$ and $g(x,t)t \leq f(t)t$ for all $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$, we get the inequality

$$\Big(1 - \frac{1}{k}\Big)\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\phi(|u_n|)|u_n|^2 dx\right] \leq \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} f(u_n)u_n dx,$$

which combined with the last limit gives $\|u_n\|_\epsilon = o_n(1)$, contradicting the Lemma 2.5. This finishes the proof of (b). ■

Proposition 2.8 *The functional J_ϵ restricted to $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for $c \in (0, d_0 + 1)$.*

Proof. Let (u_n) a $(PS)_c$ sequence on $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon$, that is,

$$J_\epsilon(u_n) \to c \quad \text{and} \quad \|J_\epsilon^{'}(u_n)\|_* = o_n(1).$$

Then, there exists $(\lambda_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$J_\epsilon^{'}(u_n) = \lambda_n L_\epsilon^{'}(u_n) + o_n(1),$$

where $L_\epsilon(v) = J_\epsilon^{'}(v)v$ for all $v \in X_\epsilon$. Thus,

$$\lambda_n L_\epsilon^{'}(u_n)u_n = o_n(1). \tag{2.9}$$

We claim that, $\lambda_n = o_n(1)$. In fact, note that

$$\begin{aligned} L_\epsilon^{'}(u_n)u_n \;&=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big[\phi^{'}(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n| + 2\phi(|\nabla u_n|)\Big]|\nabla u_n|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\Big[\phi^{'}(|u_n|)|u_n| + 2\phi(|u_n|)\Big]|u_n|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big[g^{'}(\epsilon x, u_n)u_n^2 + g(\epsilon x, u_n)u_n\Big] dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (ϕ_3),

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\epsilon}^{'}(u_n)u_n &\leq m\bigg[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\phi(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V(\epsilon x)\phi(|u_n|)|u_n|^2dx\bigg] \\ &\quad -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\Big[g^{'}(\epsilon x,u_n)u_n^2+g(\epsilon x,u_n)u_n\Big]dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\epsilon}^{'}(u_n)u_n &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\Big[(m-1)g(\epsilon x,u_n)u_n-g^{'}(\epsilon x,u_n)u_n^2\Big]dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_\epsilon\cup[u_n<t_0]}\Big[(m-1)f(u_n)u_n-f^{'}(u_n)u_n^2\Big]dx \\ &\quad +\int_{(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Omega_\epsilon)\cap[t_0\leq u_n\leq t_1]}\Big[(m-1)\eta(u_n)u_n-\eta^{'}(u_n)u_n^2\Big]dx \\ &\quad +\int_{(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Omega_\epsilon)\cap[u_n>t_1]}\Big[(m-1)\frac{V_0}{k}\phi(u_n)u_n^2-\frac{V_0}{k}(\phi(u_n)(u_n))'u_n^2\Big]dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta^{'}(t),(\phi(t)t)^{'}\geq 0$ for $t>0$, it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\epsilon}^{'}(u_n)u_n &\leq \int_{\Omega_\epsilon\cup[u_n<t_0]}\Big[(m-1)f(u_n)u_n-f^{'}(u_n)u_n^2\Big]dx \\ &\quad +\int_{(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Omega_\epsilon)\cap[t_0\leq u_n\leq t_1]}(m-1)\eta(u_n)u_ndx \\ &\quad +\int_{(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Omega_\epsilon)\cap[u_n>t_1]}(m-1)\frac{V_0}{k}\phi(u_n)u_n^2dx. \qquad (2.10) \end{aligned}$$

Now, applying the inequality

$$\eta(t)\leq\frac{V_0}{k}\phi(t)t\quad\forall t\in[t_0,t_1],$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\epsilon}^{'}(u_n)u_n &\leq \int_{\Omega_\epsilon\cup[u_n<t_0]}\Big[(m-1)f(u_n)u_n-f^{'}(u_n)u_n^2\Big]dx \\ &\quad +\int_{(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Omega_\epsilon)\cap[t_0\leq u_n\leq t_1]}(m-1)\frac{V_0}{k}\phi(u_n)u_n^2dx \\ &\quad +\int_{(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Omega_\epsilon)\cap[u_n>t_1]}(m-1)\frac{V_0}{k}\phi(u_n)u_n^2dx, \end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$L_{\epsilon}^{'}(u_n)u_n \quad \leq \quad \int_{\Omega_\epsilon}\Big[(m-1)f(u_n)u_n - f^{'}(u_n)u_n^2\Big]dx + \frac{V_0}{k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\phi(u_n)u_n^2dx.$$

Now, by Lemma 2.7,

$$-L_{\epsilon}^{'}(u_n)u_n \quad \geq \quad \sigma_2 - \frac{mV_0\sigma_1}{k}.$$

Therefore, increasing k if necessary, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$-L_{\epsilon}^{'}(u_n)u_n \geq C \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thereby, $L_{\epsilon}^{'}(u_n)u_n \nrightarrow 0$, and by (2.9), $\lambda_n = o_n(1)$. From this,

$$J_{\epsilon}^{'}(u_n) = o_n(1),$$

implying that (u_n) is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for J_ϵ in X_ϵ. Now, the result follows from Proposition 2.4. ■

As a by product of the arguments used in the proof of the last proposition, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.9 *The critical points of functional J_ϵ on $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ are critical points of J_ϵ in X_ϵ.*

2.3 Multiplicity of solutions to $(\widetilde{P}_\epsilon)$

After the previous subsection, we are able to show the existence of multiple positive solutions for $(\widetilde{P}_\epsilon)$, using Lusternik-Schnirelman theory. Furthermore, we also study the behavior of the maximum points these solutions in relation to M.

For each $\delta > 0$ small enough, we consider $\vartheta \in C_0^\infty([0,+\infty),[0,1])$ verifying

$$\vartheta(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} \quad 0 \leq s \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \\ 0, & \text{if} \quad s \geq \delta. \end{cases}$$

Using the function above, for each $y \in M$, we set

$$\Psi_{\epsilon,y}(x) = \vartheta(|\epsilon x - y|)w(\frac{\epsilon x - y}{\epsilon}),$$

where $w \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denotes a positive ground state solution of problem (P_0), which exists according to [7, Theorem 3.4]. By Lemma 2.6, there exists $t_\epsilon > 0$ such that $t_\epsilon \Psi_{\epsilon,y} \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ and

$$J_\epsilon(t_\epsilon \Psi_{\epsilon,y}) = \max_{t \geq 0} J_\epsilon(t\Psi_{\epsilon,y}).$$

From this, we can define $\widetilde{\Psi}_\epsilon : M \to \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ by $\widetilde{\Psi}_\epsilon(y) = t_\epsilon \Psi_{\epsilon,y}$.

Lemma 2.10 *The function $\widetilde{\Psi}_\epsilon$ verifies the following limit*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} J_\epsilon(\widetilde{\Psi}_\epsilon(y)) = d_0, \quad \textit{uniformly in } y \in M.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that for each $(y_n) \subset M$ and $(\epsilon_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\epsilon_n \to 0$, there is a subsequence such that

$$J_\epsilon(\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)) \to d_0.$$

Recall firstly that $J'_{\epsilon_n}(\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n))\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n) = 0$, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \widehat{\phi}(|\nabla(\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n x)\widehat{\phi}(|\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)|)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\epsilon_n x, \widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n))\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)dx,$$

where $\widehat{\phi}(s) = \phi(s)s^2$ for all $s \geq 0$. Using (ϕ_2) and [19, Lemma 2.1],

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \widehat{\phi}(|\nabla(\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n x)\widehat{\phi}(|\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)|)dx \\ \leq\ & m\xi_1(t_{\epsilon_n})\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla(\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n})|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n x)\Phi(|\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}|)dx\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where $\xi_1(t) = \max\{t^l, t^m\}$. On the other hand, considering the change of variable $z = \dfrac{\epsilon_n x - y_n}{\epsilon_n}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\epsilon_n x, \widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n))\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\epsilon_n z + y_n, t_{\epsilon_n}\vartheta(|\epsilon_n z|)w(z))t_{\epsilon_n}\vartheta(|\epsilon_n z|)w(z)dx.$$

Note that, if $z \in B_{\frac{\delta}{\epsilon_n}}(0)$, then $\epsilon_n z + y_n \in B_\delta(y_n) \subset M_\delta \subset \Omega$. Since $f = g$ in Ω, $\vartheta \equiv 1$ on $B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)$ and $B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0) \subset B_{\frac{\delta}{2\epsilon_n}}(0)$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\epsilon_n x, \widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n))\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)dx &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(t_{\epsilon_n}\vartheta(|\epsilon_n z|)w(z))t_{\epsilon_n}\vartheta(|\epsilon_n z|)w(z)dx \\ &\geq \int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)} f(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z))t_{\epsilon_n}w(z)dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Combining (2.11) with (2.12),

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)} \frac{f(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z))}{(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z))^{m-1}}|t_{\epsilon_n}w(z)|^m dx &\leq m\xi_1(t_{\epsilon_n})\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\Phi(|\nabla(\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n})|)dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n x)\Phi(|\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}|)dx\Big].\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.14, we know that w is a continuous function. Then, there is $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$w(z_0) = \min_{z\in B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)} w(z),$$

and so, from (f_3),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z_0))}{(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z_0))^{m-1}}\int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)} |t_{\epsilon_n}w(z)|^m dx &\leq m\xi_1(t_{\epsilon_n})\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\Phi(|\nabla(\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n})|)dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n x)\Phi(|\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}|)dx\Big].\end{aligned}$$

By (f_2), there are $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\big[c_1(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z_0))^{\theta-m} - c_2(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z_0))^{-m}\big]t_{\epsilon_n}^m\int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)}|w(z)|^m dx \\ &\leq m\xi_1(t_{\epsilon_n})\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\Phi(|\nabla(\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n})|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n x)\Phi(|\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}|)dx\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Now, arguing by contradiction, we will suppose that, for some subsequence,

$$t_{\epsilon_n} \to +\infty \ \text{ and } \ t_{\epsilon_n} \geq 1 \ \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thereby, $\xi_1(t_{\epsilon_n}) = t_{\epsilon_n}^m$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\big[c_1(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z_0))^{\theta-m} - c_2(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z_0))^{-m}\big]\int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)}|w(z)|^m dx \\ &\leq m\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\Phi(|\nabla(\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n})|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n x)\Phi(|\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}|)dx\Big].\end{aligned}$$

The change of variable $z = \dfrac{\epsilon_n x - y_n}{\epsilon_n}$ together with the Lebesgue's Theorem ensures that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\Phi(|\nabla(\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n})|)dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\Phi(|\nabla w|)dx$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n z + y_n)\Phi(|\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}|)dx\Big] \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0\Phi(|w|)dx.$$

Since $\theta > m$, we have that

$$\left[c_1(t_{\epsilon_n} w(z_0))^{\theta-m} - c_2(t_{\epsilon_n} w(z_0))^{-m}\right] \to +\infty,$$

obtaining a contradiction. Therefore (t_{ϵ_n}) is bounded, and for some subsequence, there exists $t_0 \geq 0$ such that

$$t_{\epsilon_n} \to t_0.$$

Now, recalling that $\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n) \in \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_n}$, we know that $\|\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)\|_{\epsilon_n} \geq \sigma$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using again the Lebesgue's Theorem, it is possible to prove that

$$E_0'(t_0 w)(t_0 w) = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \|t_0 w\|_Y \geq \sigma,$$

implying that $t_0 > 0$ and $t_0 w \in \mathcal{M}_0$. However, as w is a ground state solution, we must have $t_0 = 1$. Since $t_n \to 1$, we apply again the Lebesgue's Theorem to get

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} J_\epsilon(\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)) = E_0(w) = d_0,$$

finishing the proof. ■

In the sequel, for any $\delta > 0$, let $\rho = \rho(\delta) > 0$ be such that $M_\delta \subset B_\rho(0)$, the function $\chi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ given by

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } \ x \in B_\rho(0), \\ \dfrac{\rho x}{|x|}, & \text{if } \ x \in B_\rho^c(0) \end{cases}$$

and $\beta : \mathcal{N}_\epsilon \to \mathbb{R}^N$ the barycenter map given by

$$\beta(u) = \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u|)dx}{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u|)dx}.$$

Lemma 2.11 *The function $\widetilde{\Psi}_\epsilon$ satisfies the following limit*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \beta(\widetilde{\Psi}_\epsilon(y)) = y, \ \textit{uniformemente em } M.$$

Proof. The lemma follows by using the definition of $\widetilde{\Psi}_\epsilon(y)$ together with the Lebesgue's Theorem. ■

Hereafter, we consider the function $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ given by

$$h(\epsilon) = \sup_{y \in M} |J_\epsilon(\widetilde{\Psi}_\epsilon(y)) - d_0|,$$

which verifies $\lim\limits_{\epsilon \to 0} h(\epsilon) = 0$. Moreover, we set

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon := \big\{ u \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon \ : \ J_\epsilon(u) \leq d_0 + h(\epsilon) \big\}.$$

From Lemma 2.10, $\widetilde{\Psi}_\epsilon(y) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon$, showing that $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon \neq \emptyset$. Using the above notations, we have the following result:

Lemma 2.12 *Let $\delta > 0$ and $M_\delta = \big\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ : \ dist(x, M) \leq \delta \big\}$. Then, the limit below hols*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sup_{u \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon} \inf_{y \in M_\delta} \big| \beta(u) - y \big| = 0.$$

Proof. The proof follows as in [3, Lemma 3.7]. ■

The next theorem is a result of multiplicity for the auxiliary problem.

Theorem 2.13 *For any $\delta > 0$ there exists $\epsilon_\delta > 0$ such that $(\widetilde{P}_\epsilon)$ has at least $cat_{M_\delta}(M)$ positive solutions, for any $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_\delta$.*

Proof. We fix a small $\epsilon > 0$. Then, by Lemmas 2.10 and 2.12, we have $\beta \circ \widetilde{\Psi}_\epsilon$ is homotopic to inclusion map $id : M \to M_\delta$, this fact implies

$$cat_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon) \geq cat_{M_\delta}(M).$$

Since that functional J_ϵ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for $c \in (d_0, d_0 + h(\epsilon))$, by the Lusternik-Schnirelman theory of critical points ([36]), we can conclude that J_ϵ has at least $cat_{M_\delta}(M)$ critical points on $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon$. Consequently by Corollary 2.9, J_ϵ has at least $cat_{M_\delta}(M)$ critical points in X_ϵ. ■

Using the same approach explored in [7, Section 3], it is possible to show the following result

Proposition 2.14 *If $u_\epsilon \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a nontrivial solution of $(\widetilde{P}_\epsilon)$, then u_ϵ is positive, $u_\epsilon \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^{1,\alpha}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and*

$$\lim_{|x| \to +\infty} u_\epsilon(x) = 0.$$

3 Multiplicity of solutions for the original problem

After the study made in Section 2, the main goal this section is to prove that the solutions obtained are solutions for the original problem when ϵ is small enough. To do this, in what follows we will show three technical results.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $\epsilon_n \to 0$ and $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_n}$ be such that $J_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) \to d_0$. Then, there exists a sequence $(\widetilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, such that $v_n(x) = u_n(x + \widetilde{y}_n)$ has a convergent subsequence in $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, up to a subsequence, $y_n \to y \in M$, where $y_n = \epsilon_n \widetilde{y}_n$.*

Proof. The proof follows as in [7, Proposition 5.3], adapting arguments found in [3, Proposition 3.3]. ■

Lemma 3.2 *Let $(x_j) \subset \overline{\Omega}_{\epsilon_j}$ and (ϵ_j) be sequences with $\epsilon_j \to 0$ as $j \to +\infty$. If $v_j(x) = u_{\epsilon_j}(x + x_j)$ where u_{ϵ_j} is solution of $(\widetilde{P}_{\epsilon_j})$ given by Theorem 2.13, then (v_j) converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N$.*

Proof. First of all, observe that v_j verifies the following problem:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} -\Delta_\Phi v_j + V_j(x)\phi(|v_j|)v_j &=& g(\epsilon_j x + \overline{x}_j, v_j) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ v_j \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N), && \\ v_j > 0 \quad in \quad \mathbb{R}^N, && \end{array}\right. \tag{P_j}$$

where $V_j(x) = V(\epsilon_j x + \overline{x}_j)$ and $\overline{x}_j = \epsilon_j x_j$.

Next, let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $R_0 > 1$, $0 < t < s < 1 < R_0$ and $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ verifying

$$0 \le \xi \le 1, \quad supp\xi \subset B_s(x_0), \quad \xi \equiv 1 \text{ on } B_t(x_0) \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \xi| \le \frac{2}{s-t}.$$

For $\zeta \ge 1$, set $\eta_j = \xi^m (v_j - \zeta)_+$ and

$$Q_j = \int_{A_{j,\zeta,s}} \Phi(|\nabla v_j|)\xi^m dx,$$

where $A_{j,\zeta,\rho} = \big\{x \in B_\rho(x_0) \ : \ v_j(x) > \zeta\big\}$. Using η_j as a test function, and combining (V_0) with (ϕ_2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} lQ_j \ \le \ & m\int_{A_{j,\zeta,s}} \phi(|\nabla v_j|)|\nabla v_j||\nabla\xi|\xi^{m-1}(v_j-\zeta)_+ dx \\ & -V_0\int_{A_{j,\zeta,s}} \phi(|v_j|)v_j\xi^m(v_j-\zeta)_+dx + \int_{A_{j,\zeta,s}} g(\epsilon_j x + \overline{x}_j, v_j)\xi^m(v_j-\zeta)_+dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, repeating the same arguments found in [7, Lemma 3.5],

$$Q_j \quad \leq \quad c_1\Bigg(\int_{A_{j,\zeta,s}} \Big|\frac{v_j-\zeta}{s-t}\Big|^{\gamma^*} dx + (\zeta^{\gamma^*}+1)|A_{j,\zeta,s}|\Bigg).$$

Using the condition $(\mathcal{C}_m)$ and the definition of ξ,

$$\int_{A_{j,\zeta,t}} |\nabla v_j|^m dx \leq c_2\Bigg(\int_{A_{j,\zeta,s}} \Big|\frac{v_j-\zeta}{s-t}\Big|^{\gamma^*} dx + (\zeta^{\gamma^*}+1)|A_{j,\zeta,s}|\Bigg),$$

where the constant c_2 does not depend of ζ and $\zeta \geq \zeta_0 \geq 1$, for some constant ζ_0.

Now, fix $R_1 > 0$ and define

$$\sigma_n = \frac{R_1}{2} + \frac{R_1}{2^{n+1}} \ \ \overline{\sigma}_n = \frac{\sigma_n + \sigma_{n+1}}{2} \text{ and } \zeta_n = \frac{\zeta_0}{2}\Big(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\Big).$$

For each j, let us consider

$$Q_{n,j} = \int_{A_{j,\zeta_n,\sigma_n}} \big((v_j - \zeta_n)_+\big)^{\gamma^*} dx.$$

Arguing as in proof of [7, Lemma 3.6], we see that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$Q_{n,j} \leq CA^{\eta} Q_{n,j}^{1+\eta} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $C, \eta > 0$ are independent of n and $A > 1$. Now, we claim that

$$Q_{0,j} \leq C^{\frac{1}{\eta}} A^{-\frac{1}{\eta^2}}, \quad \text{for} \quad j \approx +\infty.$$

Indeed, by Proposition 3.1, we have $v_j \to v$ in $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore,

$$\limsup_{\zeta_0 \to +\infty}\left(\limsup_{j\to+\infty} Q_{0,j}\right) = \limsup_{\zeta_0 \to +\infty}\left(\limsup_{j\to+\infty} \int_{A_{j,\zeta_0,\sigma_0}} (v_j - \frac{\zeta_0}{4})_+^{\gamma^*} dx\right) = 0.$$

Then, there are $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\zeta_0^* > 0$ such that

$$Q_{0,j} \leq C^{\frac{1}{\eta}} A^{-\frac{1}{\eta^2}}, \quad \text{for} \quad j \geq j_0 \text{ and } \zeta_0 \geq \zeta_0^*.$$

By [24, Lemma 4.7],

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} Q_{n,j} = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad j \geq j_0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} Q_{n,j} = \lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{A_{j,K_n,\sigma_n}} ((v_j-\zeta_n)_+)^{\gamma^*}dx = \int_{A_{j,\frac{\zeta}{2},\frac{R_1}{2}}} ((v_j-\frac{\zeta_0}{2})_+)^{\gamma^*}dx.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{A_{j,\frac{\zeta_0}{2},\frac{R_1}{2}}} ((v_j-\frac{\zeta_0}{2})_+)^{\gamma^*}dx = 0, \quad \forall j \geq j_0,$$

leading to

$$v_j(x) \leq \frac{\zeta_0}{2} \quad \text{a.e in } B_{\frac{R_1}{2}}(x_0), \quad \forall j \geq j_0.$$

Since $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is arbitrary, we deduce that

$$v_j(x) \leq \frac{\zeta_0}{2} \quad \text{a.e in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \forall j \geq j_0,$$

that is,

$$\|v_j\|_\infty \leq \frac{\zeta_0}{2}, \quad \forall j \geq j_0.$$

Setting $C = \max\{\frac{\zeta_0}{2}, \|v_1\|_\infty, \ldots.., \|v_{j_0-1}\|_\infty\}$, we derive that

$$\|v_j\|_\infty \leq C \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Combining the above estimate with regularity theory, we deduce that $(v_j) \subset C^{1,\alpha}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and there is $v \in C^{1,\alpha}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$v_j \to v \quad \text{in} \quad C^{1,\alpha}(B_{\rho_0}(0)), \quad \forall \rho_0 > 0.$$

■

Lemma 3.3 *Let* (ϵ_n) *be a sequence with* $\epsilon_n \to 0$ *and let* $(x_n) \subset \overline{\Omega}_{\epsilon_n}$ *be a sequence such that* $u_{\epsilon_n}(x_n) \geq \tau_0 > 0$*, for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and some* $\tau_0 > 0$*, where* u_{ϵ_n} *is a solution of* $(\widetilde{P}_\epsilon)$ *given by Theorem 2.13. Then,*

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} V(\overline{x}_n) = V_0,$$

where $\overline{x}_n = \epsilon_n x_n$*.*

Proof. As Ω is bounded and $\overline{x}_n \in \overline{\Omega}$, there exists $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$ such that, up to a subsequence, $\overline{x}_n \to x_0$. Then, the continuity of V loads to

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} V(\overline{x}_n) = V(x_0) \geq V_0. \tag{3.1}$$

In the sequel, we will argue by contradiction, supposing that

$$V(x_0) > V_0. \tag{3.2}$$

From Theorem 2.13, $(u_{\epsilon_n}) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\epsilon_n}$. Thus,

$$c_{\epsilon_n} \leq J_{\epsilon_n}(u_{\epsilon_n}) < d_0 + h(\epsilon_n)$$

which implies

$$\limsup_n c_{\epsilon_n} \leq d_0.$$

On the other hand, since

$$E_0(tu) \leq J_{\epsilon_n}(tu), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \forall u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

we derive the inequality

$$d_0 \leq \max_{t\geq 0} E_0(tu_{\epsilon_n}) \leq \max_{t\geq 0} J_{\epsilon_n}(tu_{\epsilon_n}) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

which loads to

$$d_0 \leq \liminf_n c_{\epsilon_n}.$$

Therefore,

$$J_{\epsilon_n}(u_{\epsilon_n}) \to d_0 \quad \text{and} \quad J^{'}_{\epsilon_n}(u_{\epsilon_n})u_{\epsilon_n} = 0.$$

Thence, (u_{ϵ_n}) is a bounded sequence in $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, implying that the sequence $v_n(z) = u_{\epsilon_n}(z + x_n)$ is bounded in $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence, there exists $v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$v_n \rightharpoonup v \quad \text{in} \quad W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{3.3}$$

Now, the Lemma 3.2, the convergence above and the inequality, $u_{\epsilon_n}(x_n) \geq \tau_0 > 0$ combine to give $v(0) \geq \tau_0 > 0$, showing that $v \not\equiv 0$.

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $t_n > 0$ such that $t_n v_n \in \mathcal{M}_0$. Repeating the same arguments of proof of Lemma 2.10, we get

$$t_n \to t_0.$$

Define $\widetilde{v}_n = t_n v_n$ and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} E_0(\widetilde{v}_n) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla(t_n v_n)|)dx + V_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|t_n v_n|)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(t_n v_n)dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla(t_n v_n)|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n z + \overline{x}_n)\Phi(|t_n v_n|)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(\epsilon_n z + \overline{x}_n, t_n v_n)dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla(t_n u_{\epsilon_n}|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n z)\Phi(|t_n u_{\epsilon_n}|)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(\epsilon_n z, t_n u_{\epsilon_n})dx \\ &= J_{\epsilon_n}(t_n u_{\epsilon_n}) \leq \max_{t\geq 0} J_{\epsilon_n}(t u_{\epsilon_n}) = J_{\epsilon_n}(u_{\epsilon_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Thereby,

$$d_0 \leq E_0(\widetilde{v}_n) \leq d_0 + o_n(1),$$

implying that $E_0(\widetilde{v}_n) \to d_{V_0}$. Applying [7, Proposition 5.3], we derive that

$$\widetilde{v}_n \to \widetilde{v} \quad \text{in} \quad W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N), \tag{3.4}$$

with $\widetilde{v} = t_0 v \not\equiv 0$. Moreover, $E_0(\widetilde{v}) = d_0$, and from (3.2),

$$d_0 < \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla\widetilde{v}|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x_0)\Phi(|\widetilde{v}|)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(\widetilde{v})dx.$$

By (3.4) and Fatou's Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} d_0 &< \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(\Phi(|\nabla\widetilde{v}_n|) + V(\epsilon_n z + \overline{x}_n)\Phi(|\widetilde{v}_n|) - F(\widetilde{v}_n)\big)dx \Big] \\ &\leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(\Phi(|\nabla(t_n v_n)|) + V(\epsilon_n z + \overline{x}_n)\Phi(|t_n v_n|) - G(\epsilon_n z + \overline{x}, t_n v_n)\big)dx \Big] \\ &= \liminf_{n\to+\infty} J_{\epsilon_n}(t_n u_{\epsilon_n}) \leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} J_{\epsilon_n}(u_{\epsilon_n}) = d_0 \end{aligned}$$

which is an absurd. Hence, from (3.1),

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} V(\overline{x}_n) = V_0.$$

■

Our next lemma will permit to conclude that the solutions of the auxiliary problem are solutions for the original problem for ϵ small enough.

Lemma 3.4 *If* $\kappa_\epsilon = \sup\big\{ \max_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon} u_\epsilon : u_\epsilon \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon$ *is a solution of* $(\widetilde{P}_\epsilon)\big\}$, *then*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \kappa_\epsilon = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Arguing by contradiction, we will assume that

$$\liminf_{\epsilon\to 0} \kappa_\epsilon > \tau_0 > 0,$$

for some $\tau_0 > 0$. From this, there is $(\epsilon_n) \subset (0, +\infty)$ and $x_n \in \partial\Omega_{\epsilon_n}$ such that

$$u_{\epsilon_n}(x_n) = \max_{x\in\partial\Omega_{\epsilon_n}} u_{\epsilon_n}(x) > \tau_0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Applying the Lemma 3.3,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} V(\overline{x}_n) = V_0,$$

where $\overline{x}_n = \epsilon_n x_n$. Since $(\overline{x}_n) \subset \partial\Omega$, there exist $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ such that, up to a subsequence, $\overline{x}_n \to x_0$, loading to $V(x_0) = V_0$, which contradicts (V_1). Thereby,

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \kappa_\epsilon = 0.$$

■

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

i) Multiplicity of positive solutions

From Theorem 2.13, for any $\delta > 0$ there exists $\epsilon_\delta > 0$ such that $(\widetilde{P}_\epsilon)$ has at least $cat_{M_\delta}(M)$ positive solutions, for any $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_\delta$. Let u_ϵ be one of these solutions of $(\widetilde{P}_\epsilon)$. By Lemma 3.4 there exists $\overline{\epsilon} > 0$ such that

$$\kappa_\epsilon < t_0, \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \overline{\epsilon}).$$

Thus, $(u_\epsilon - t_0)_+ \in W_0^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N\backslash\Omega_\epsilon)$ and

$$\omega_\epsilon(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{rl} 0, & if \quad x \in \Omega_\epsilon \\ (u_\epsilon - t_0)_+, & if \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N\backslash\Omega_\epsilon \end{array} \right.$$

belongs to $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Using ω_ϵ as function test, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\backslash\Omega_\epsilon} \phi(|\nabla u_\epsilon|)\nabla u_\epsilon \nabla (u_\epsilon - t_0)_+ dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\backslash\Omega_\epsilon} V(\epsilon x)\phi(|u_\epsilon|)u_\epsilon(u_\epsilon - t_0)_+ dx \\ = & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\backslash\Omega_\epsilon} g(\epsilon x, u_\epsilon)(u_\epsilon - t_0)_+ dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\Big(1-\frac{1}{k}\Big)\Bigg[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Omega_\epsilon}\phi(|\nabla(u_\epsilon-t_0)_+|)|\nabla(u_\epsilon-t_0)_+|^2dx$$
$$+V_0\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Omega_\epsilon}\phi(|u_\epsilon|)u_\epsilon(u_\epsilon-t_0)_+dx\Bigg]\leq 0.$$

By Proposition 2.14, we know that $u_\epsilon>0$, then the last inequality gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Omega_\epsilon}\phi(|u_\epsilon|)u_\epsilon(u_\epsilon-t_0)_+dx=0,$$

and so,

$$(u_\epsilon-t_0)_+=0 \ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Omega_\epsilon,$$

showing the Theorem 1.1.

ii) The behavior of maximum points

Finally, if u_{ϵ_n} is a solution of problem $(\widetilde{P}_{\epsilon_n})$. Then, $v_n(x)=u_{\epsilon_n}(x+\widetilde{y}_n)$ is a solution of problem

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} -\Delta_\Phi v_n+V_n(x)\phi(|v_n|)v_n & = & f(v_n) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,\\ v_n\in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N), & & \\ v_n>0 \quad in \quad \mathbb{R}^N, & & \end{array}\right. \qquad (P_n)$$

where $V_n(x)=V(\epsilon_n x+\epsilon_n\widetilde{y}_n)$ and $(\widetilde{y}_n)$ is the sequence obtained in Proposition 3.1. Moreover, up to a subsequence, $v_n\to v$ in $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $y_n\to y$ in M, where $y_n=\epsilon_n\widetilde{y}_n$. Applying [7, Proposition 6.1] and [7, Lemma 6.4], there are $R_0>0$ and $q_n\in B_{R_0}(0)$ such that $v_n(q_n)=\max\limits_{z\in\mathbb{R}^N}v_n(z)$. Hence, $x_n=q_n+\widetilde{y}_n$ is a maximum point of u_{ϵ_n} and

$$\epsilon_n x_n\to y.$$

Since V is a continuous function, it follows that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}V(\epsilon_n x_n)=V(y)=V_0,$$

showing the concentration of the maximum points of the solutions near to minimum points of V. ■

4 Appendix A: Existence of function η.

In this appendix, we show how we can build the function η. In what follows, we fix ϱ small enough, such that the number $\lambda = a - \varrho$ verifies

$$f^{'}(\lambda) > \frac{V_0}{k}(m-1)\phi(\lambda). \tag{4.1}$$

Considering $h(s) = \dfrac{f(s)}{\phi(s)}$, we have that $h^{'}(\lambda) > \dfrac{V_0}{k}$ and $h(\lambda) < \dfrac{V_0}{k}\lambda$. Now, define the function

$$\widehat{h}(s) = \begin{cases} h(s), & if\ s \leq a, \\ \dfrac{V_0}{k}s, & if\ s > a. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\widehat{h}(s) = \dfrac{\widehat{f}(s)}{\phi(s)}$ and

- $\dfrac{h(a)}{a} = \dfrac{f(a)}{\phi(a)a} = \dfrac{V_0}{k}$,
- $\dfrac{h(s)}{s} = \dfrac{f(s)}{\phi(s)s} = \dfrac{s^{m-2}}{\phi(s)}\dfrac{f(s)}{s^{m-1}}\Big\uparrow$ for $s > 0$,
- $h^{'}(\lambda) > \dfrac{V_0}{k}$,
- $B := \dfrac{V_0}{k}\lambda - h(\lambda) > 0$.

The next lemma is a key step to get the function η.

Lemma 4.1 *There exist $t_0, t_1 \in (0, +\infty)$ such that $t_0 < a < t_1$ and $\widetilde{\eta} \in C^1([t_0, t_1])$, satisfying*

($\widetilde{\eta}_1$) $\widetilde{\eta}(s) \leq \widehat{h}(s)$, for all $s \in [t_0, t_1]$,

($\widetilde{\eta}_2$) $\widetilde{\eta}(t_0) = \widehat{h}(t_0)$ and $\widetilde{\eta}(t_1) = \widehat{h}(t_1)$,

($\widetilde{\eta}_3$) $(\widetilde{\eta})^{'}(t_0) = (\widehat{h})^{'}(t_0)$ and $(\widetilde{\eta})^{'}(t_1) = (\widehat{h})^{'}(t_1)$,

($\widetilde{\eta}_4$) The function $s \to \dfrac{\widetilde{\eta}(s)}{s}$ is nondecreasing for all $s \in [t_0, t_1]$.

Proof. In what follows, for each $\delta > 0$ small enough, we fix the numbers

$$\lambda = a - \delta, B = h'(\lambda) > \frac{V_0}{k} \text{ and } D = \frac{V_0}{k}\lambda - h(\lambda)$$

where $\frac{V_0}{k} = \frac{h(a)}{a}$. Setting the function

$$y(t) = At^2 + Bt,$$

we have that

$$y(0) = 0 \text{ and } y'(0) = B.$$

Next, our goal is proving that there are $A < 0$ and $T > \delta$ such that

$$y(T) = \frac{V_0}{k}T + D \text{ and } y'(T) = \frac{V_0}{k}.$$

The above equalities are equivalent to the following system

$$\begin{cases} AT^2 + BT = \frac{V_0}{k}T + D \\ \\ 2AT + B = \frac{V_0}{k} \end{cases}$$

whose solution is

$$T = \frac{2D}{B - \frac{V_0}{k}} = \frac{2(\frac{V_0}{k}\lambda - h(\lambda))}{B - \frac{V_0}{k}} > \delta, \text{ if } \delta \approx 0^+$$

and

$$A = -\frac{1}{4}\frac{(B - \frac{V_0}{k})^2}{D}.$$

Now, we set $\widetilde{\eta} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\widetilde{\eta}(t) = y(t - \lambda) + h(\lambda).$$

Note that

$$\widetilde{\eta}(\lambda) = h(\lambda),\ \widetilde{\eta}'(\theta) = h'(\lambda),\ \widetilde{\eta}(T + \lambda) = \frac{V_0}{k}(T + \lambda) \text{ and } \widetilde{\eta}'(T + \lambda) = \frac{V_0}{k}.$$

A simple calculus gives

$$\widetilde{\eta}'(t)t - \widetilde{\eta}(t) = At^2 - A\lambda^2 + B\lambda - h(\lambda).$$

Thus,

$$\widetilde{\eta}'(t)t - \widetilde{\eta}(t) > 0 \Leftrightarrow At^2 - A\lambda^2 + B\lambda - h(\lambda) > 0.$$

However,

$$At^2 - A\lambda^2 + B\lambda - h(\lambda) > 0 \Leftrightarrow -t_* < t < t_*$$

where

$$t_* = \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \frac{(B\lambda - f(\lambda))}{A}} = T + \lambda.$$

Thereby,

$$\widetilde{\eta}'(t)t - \widetilde{\eta}(t) > 0 \ \forall t \in [\lambda, T + \lambda),$$

from where it follows that $\dfrac{\widetilde{\eta}(t)}{t}$ is increasing in $[a - \delta, a + \tau]$, where $\tau = T - \delta > 0$.

■

Using the last lemma, the function $\eta(t) = \phi(t)\widetilde{\eta}(t)$ verifies the following conditions:

- $\eta(s) \leq \phi(s)\widehat{h}(s) = \widehat{f}(s)$, for all $s \in [t_0, t_1]$,
- $\eta(t_0) = \phi(t_0)\widehat{h}(t_0) = \widehat{f}(t_0)$ and $\eta(t_1) = \phi(t_1)\widehat{h}(t_1) = \widehat{f}(t_1)$,
- $$\begin{aligned} \eta^{'}(t_0) &= \phi^{'}(t_0)\widetilde{\eta}(t_0) + \phi(t_0)(\widetilde{\eta})^{'}(t_0) \\ &= \phi^{'}(t_0)\widehat{h}(t_0) + \phi(t_0)(\widehat{h})^{'}(t_0) \\ &= \phi^{'}(t_0)h(t_0) + \phi(t_0)h^{'}(t_0) \\ &= \big(\phi(t)h(t)\big)^{'}(t_0) = f^{'}(t_0), \end{aligned}$$
- $\eta^{'}(t_1) = (\widehat{f})^{'}(t_1)$,
- $\dfrac{\eta(s)}{\phi(s)s} = \dfrac{\phi(s)\widetilde{\eta}(s)}{\phi(s)s} = \dfrac{\widetilde{\eta}(s)}{s}$,

showing that the function η verifies the conditions (η_1), (η_1), (η_3) and (η_4) mentioned in Section 2.

References

[1] A. Adams and J.F. Fournier, Sobolev Spaces, 2nd ed., Academic Press (2003).

[2] A. Adams and L.I. Hedberg, Function Spaces and Potential Theory, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 314, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[3] C.O. Alves and G.M. Figueiredo, Multiplicity of Positive Solutions For a Quasilinear Problem in $\mathbb{R}^N$ Via Penalization Method, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 5 (2005), 551-572.

[4] C.O. Alves and G.M. Figueiredo, Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to a p-Laplacian equation in $\mathbb{R}^N$, Differential Integral Equations 19, no. 2, (2006) 143-162.

[5] C.O. Alves, G.M. Figueiredo and J.A. Santos, Strauss and Lions type results for a class of Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and applications, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 44, no. 2, (2014) 435-456.

[6] C.O. Alves and M.C. Ferreira, Multi-bump solutions for a class of quasilinear problems involving variable exponents, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (2014). DOI 10.1007/s10231-014-0434-2.

[7] C.O. Alves and A.R. Silva, Multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for a class of quasilinear problems through Orlicz-Sobolev space, arXiv:1506.01669v1.

[8] A. Ambrosetti, M. Badiale and S. Cingolani, Semiclassical states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 140 (1997), 285-300.

[9] A. Ambrosetti and A. Malchiodi, Concentration phenomena for for NLS: recent results and new perspectives. Perspectives in nonlinear partial differential equations, Contemp. Math., 446, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2007) 19-30.

[10] N. Benouhiba and Z. Belyacine, On the solutions of the (p, q)-Laplacian problem at resonance, Nonlinear Anal. 77, (2013) 74-81 .

[11] G. Bonanno, G.M. Bisci and V. Radulescu, Infinitely many solutions for a class of nonlinear eigenvalue problem in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 349, no. 5-6, (2011) 263-268.

[12] F. Cammaroto and L. Vilasi, Multiple solutions for a nonhomogeneous Dirichlet problem in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, Appl. Math. Comput. 218, no. 23, (2012) 11518-11527.

[13] M.F. Chaves, G. Ercole and O.H. Miyagaki, Existence of a nontrivial solution for the (p, q)-Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^N$ without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition, Nonlinear Anal. 114, (2015) 133-141.

[14] M. del Pino and P.L. Felmer, Local mountain pass for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 4, no. 2, (1996) 121-137.

[15] E. DiBenedetto, $C^{1,\gamma}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, Nonlinear Anal. 7, no. 8, (1985) 827-850.

[16] T.K. Donaldson and N.S. Trudinger, Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and imbedding theorems, J. Funct. Anal. 8, no. 1, (1971) 52-75.

[17] G.M. Figueiredo, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of $p\&q$ elliptic problems with critical exponent, Math. Nachr. 286, no. 11-12, (2013) 1129-1141.

[18] A. Floer and A. Weinstein, Nonspreading wave packets for the cubic Schrödinger equation with a bounded potential, J. Funct. Anal. 69, no. 3, (1986) 397-408.

[19] N. Fukagai, M. Ito and K. Narukawa, Positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with critical Orlicz-Sobolev nonlinearity on $\mathbb{R}^N$, Funkcial. Ekvac. 49, no. 2, (2006) 235-267.

[20] N. Fukagai, M. Ito and K. Narukawa, Quasilinear elliptic equations with slowly growing principal part and critical Orlicz-Sobolev nonlinear term, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 139, no. 1, (2009) 73-106.

[21] N. Fukagai and K. Narukawa, On the existence of multiple positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic eigenvalue problems, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 186, no. 3, (2007) 539-564.

[22] M. Fuchs and L. Gongbao, L^∞-bounds for elliptic equations on Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, Arch. Math. (Basel) 72, no. 4, (1999) 293-297.

[23] N. Fusco and C. Sbordone, Some remarks on the regularity of minima of anisotropic integrals, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 18, no. 1-2, (1993) 153-167.

[24] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya and N.N. Ural'tseva, Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations, Acad. Press (1968).

[25] G. Li and X. Liang, The existence of nontrivial solutions to nonlinear elliptic equation of p-q-Laplacian type on $\mathbb{R}^N$, Nonlinear Anal. 71, no. 5-6, (2009) 2316-2334.

[26] M. Mihailescu and V. Radulescu, Nonhomogeneous Neumann problems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 346, no. 7-8, (2008) 401-406.

[27] M. Mihailescu and V. Radulescu, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a quasilinear non- homogeneous problems: An Orlicz-Sobolev space setting., J. Math. Anal. Appl. 330, no. 1, (2007) 416-432.

[28] J. Musielak, Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1034, Springer, Berlin, 1983.

[29] Y.G. Oh, Existence of semi-classical bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials of the class $(V)_\alpha$, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 13, no. 12, (1988) 1499-1519.

[30] Y.G. Oh, On positive multi-lump bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations under multiple well potential, Comm. Math. Phys. 131, no. 2, (1990) 223-253.

[31] M.N. Rao and Z.D. Ren, Theory of Orlicz Spaces, Marcel Dekker, New York (1985).

[32] P.H. Rabinowitz, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 43, no. 2, (1992) 270-291.

[33] J.A. Santos, Multiplicity of solutions for quasilinear equations involving critical Orlicz-Sobolev nonlinear terms, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2013, no. 249, (2013) 1-13.

[34] Z. Tan and F. Fang, Orlicz-Sobolev versus Holder local minimizer and multiplicity results for quasilinear elliptic equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 402, no. 1, (2013) 348-370.

[35] X. Wang, On concentration of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Comm. Math. Phys., **53** (1993),

[36] M. Willem, Minimax Theorems, Birkhauser, (1996).